	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Notice of Abandonment	10/648,253	CHAO, YUAN-JEN
	Examiner	Art Unit
	ABIY GETACHEW	2841
The MAILING DATE of this communication app		I I
		•
This application is abandoned in view of:		
 Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office A reply was received on (with a Certificate of N period for reply (including a total extension of time of, but it does 	failing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on	·
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee);	
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitutional rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See		mpt at a proper reply, to the non-
(d) 🛛 No reply has been received.		
 Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8 (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was	5). received on (with a Certifica	ate of Mailing or Transmission dated
(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance	e of \$ is due.	
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$	The publication fee, if required by 37	CFR 1.18(d), is \$
(c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.		
 3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requallowability (PTO-37). (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on 		
after the expiration of the period for reply.		
(b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.		
 The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants. 	e attorney or agent of record, the ass	ignee of the entire interest, or all of
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.	attorney or agent (acting in a repres	entative capacity under 37 CFR
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed clair		e the period for seeking court review
7. ☑ The reason(s) below:		
Attorney Candice Lee confirmed on the Phone con abandoned.	versation made on 12/05/2008 th	at this case (10/648,253) is
/Dean A. Reichard/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2841		
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdra	aw the holding of abandonment under 37 (CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to